De Nederlandse Minister President. Afz.: <sender>.

De heer M. Rutte. <address sender>. Postbus 20001. <place sender. 2500 EA Den Haag.

Ook per fax: **070 - 370 79 00** van Ministerie Veiligheid en Justitie

Geachte Minister President, drs. Rutte,

5 January 2021.

I write to the Dutch Minister President in the most popular international language and explain why.

The inquiry report "Unprecedented Injustice" ("Ongekend Onrecht") is, once again, solid evidence that the Human Rights not exist in the Netherlands. Once again is the opportunity with full awareness <u>not</u> used, to measure the Contracting State's doing or not-doing against the measure stick of the European Convention for the <u>Protection</u> of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (hereafter: EVRM).

The Contracting State "the Netherlands" commits breach of contract and is not able to stop the breaching, repair the ruins and compensate adequate the unrepairable damage.

This is another message in quite a number to the Dutch government, after the previous ones are deleted with scorn abuse of "an individual case" or "independency of the judge". This evidences indisputably the begging to return all of our stolen Human Rights, which begging as solely fact evidences the absence of even the tiniest fundamental Human Right.

So, the international public community must know to enable its lawful public scrutiny with the correct and complete information to fulfil its legal task to judge. The King, each member of the government(s), each member of the parliament(s), each citizen, resident and private individual can access sufficient information at the site www.publicsrcutiny.nl for 24 hours of each day. As the government know already for some years is information about the crimes of courts, its tribunals or judges and the judiciary in the webdossier www.de-openbare-zaak.nl, also accessible for 24 hours of each day.

At the risk of repeating I highlight some significant topics. Firstly the main topic that is a human shield of a secretary or registry which is used to hide by the Ministers. Nevertheless remain the Minister President accountable for this organising. So, hiding behind such a human shield is useless. The legal effect is that with this letter the Dutch government is reached anyway and is sufficient informed. While what your public servants do with the information is not the legal topic but that the government does not act is.

The conscience

Since many years ago the Dutch Minister President and the Dutch government have the acknowledgement that Human Rights do not exist in the Netherlands, because about this I informed sufficiently and in time. With recently published report "Ongekend onrecht" (translatable in: "Unprecedented injustice") about the "Toeslagen affaire" (translatable in: "Allowance affaire"), again you are informed about the, since many years ago, destroyed peace keeping system of "the fair trial by an independent and impartial tribunal within a reasonable time".

The public scrutiny

The legal unity "the public scrutiny", which legal authority is confessed thus accepted, by the European Court of Human Rights to scrutinise the judiciary by the public (inter alia, Case of Pretto and Others vs Italy, 8 December 1983, §27; The Manual for Public Scrutiny, www.publicscrutiny.nl). The Dutch Minister President or the government (each or both hereafter: MP) ignores this authority since many years ago. One (1) of all the legal results, is this "Allowance affaire" and it is not the only or worse one. This affaire attests again that Human Rights not exist in the Netherlands.

The Contracting State "the Netherlands" knows that the courts, tribunals or judges and judiciary are only a part of the Human Rights which rights are <u>protected</u> by the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms.

The Manual for Public Scrutiny at "www.publicscrutiny.nl" informs each Contracting State, but firstly each individual, by what the 450 million European individuals are united in the public scrutiny.

Judicial insanity of Dutch judges in (single-judge)tribunals and courtsAt www.publicscrutiny.nl the MP are informed by a public scrutiny's judgment, which conclude that the Dutch Supreme Court "Raad van Sate" (translatable in: Council of State) are judicially insane. Beside that all judges in the Netherlands are very close to the judicial insanity. The MP surely knows this insaneness: this is, inter alia, the cause for the demanded insert in the migration pact at Marrakech (2018) of the United Nations. The MP is pointed earlier to the webdossier "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl".

Discrimination

The most significant humanitarian crime that the MP commits and continues is the discriminating of each private individual from groups of individuals or from non-governmental organisations. This is a crime because the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms is made for each private individual or as stated: for everyone. Here repeated again: one (1) of all the legal results of the discrimination is this "Allowance affaire" and it is not the only or worse one. For this has the MP impossible, retroactive, an excuse (Case of De Cubber v. Belgium, 26 October 1984, §34 en §35). Discrimination is a fundamental crime against Human Rights.

Discrimination via the press

Only topics or cases that are published in the press, receive the interest of the MP. Almost only about topics or cases of selected groups of individuals or selected non-governmental organisations is published in the press. By this press-threshold are private individuals discriminated by the MP. The press is business and so: controllable. The "Allowance affaire" attests again that it received the MP's interest after publishing by the press while the individuals were <u>still</u>, since a intolerable long time, intolerable busy with it.

The press is excluded from the public, as foreseen in article 6, §1 by the author of the European Convention for the <u>Protection</u> of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. The MP is fully aware of this divergence because each court has its own way of publicly

pronouncing its judgments and surely this is not by the press. Due to the business of the press are disliked facts or circumstances deleted in news articles, like courts and its tribunals or judges do in its decisions. The press did not publish any crime or any judicial insanity of courts, tribunals or judges.

Discrimination via other manners

The MP puts very much energy into not knowing. Inter alia about the constant destruction of Human Rights in the Netherlands by the courts, tribunals or judges and judiciary. One (1) very scorning manner by the MP is to not react at all when a private individual attempts to communicate. One (1) other scorning manner is to react with bla-bla-bla and end the reaction and instantly break up the attempt to communicate. One (1) other manner for not-knowing is a response but referring only to the content of the letter and not at all to the directed facts and circumstances, in the letter.

Absence of good faith

By law compelled is good faith required for any legal effect (Article 3:11, B.W. that is translatable in "Civil Rights Law"). This article defines by what the absence of good faith is identified. So, putting much energy in not-knowing, keep silence or break up correspondence and communication is useless because the aimed excuse is an illegal excuse and thus legally ignored by the public scrutiny beforehand.

Article 5 Constitution

According to article 5 of the Dutch Constitution has each individual access to the authority, so in this case to the Minister President in person. So the government as its organisation has committed solely a constitutional crime also by using a human shield. And nevertheless remain doing this. This is one (1) of the reasons to address the Minister President.

Violence as the legal result

Especially discrimination by ignoring each member of the public scrutiny is a crime with impact on the peace keeping. Public knowledge is that ignoring is the most terrible non-violence aggression. Youngsters become violent at the age that they experienced enough that Human Rights do not exist. The violence, especially from youngsters, has the destruction of Human Rights as cause and the resurrection of Human Rights as goal to achieve. So, the violence is at the most 50% a people's guilt, while they only are condemned. The public scrutiny does not follow this condemnation.

Guise with prohibition to examine an individual case

The report "Ongekend onrecht" ("Unprecedented injustice") evidences the fake of the prohibition to examine an individual case. To examine two (or more) individual cases as one dossier, is no problem at all. The report refers to the individual content of very much individual cases and judgments inter alia from page 110 up to further then page 119. The webdossier www.de-openbare-zaak.nl contains much more then two cases but is discriminated from examining as one dossier, unlike the report.

Wet algemene bepalingen

In the Netherlands is the law "Wet algemene bepalingen" (translatable in: "Law with general provisions") that specifically aims at judges. But each judge never applied and never shall apply this law, neither in appeal when judges are accused of, inter alia, perjury and called to stand trial in the Dutch court trial named "Wraking". So, where private individuals are compelled to observe the law then judges (the same persons who condemn citizens for not observing) can ignore law(s) they dislike. And each can act as he or she likes via, by law prohibited, own regulations. This is an abuse of independency which is not even a Human Right of judges or other official officers.

Authority of article 13 EVRM

At the site www.publicscrutiny.nl is sufficient explained the correct interpretation of article 13 as the author this means with its European Convention for the <u>Protection</u> of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. So the Dutch Contracting State retroactive knows surely that this authority is an executive power especially to compel courts, tribunals or judges and judiciary to, inter alia, stop deciding or judging on their own opinion. This authority for the Dutch Contracting State is the Dutch King, as he swore when he became King and accepted the duties. But up to now the MP have accepted the perjury of the King, with the "Allowance affaire" as one of the dramatic results beside, inter alia, the damage reported in the webdossier "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" and the violence in our society.

Recall

Recalling that this promulgation is also on behalf of the public scrutiny. Not all members speak the language I use to the MP, courts or official authorities. Some members use the language of violence. But we (all members) are united in the return of <u>all</u> our human and civil rights, in the resurrection of the Human Rights in our society and the democracy in our democratic Rule of Law. Comparison with other countries is not our measure stick and neither our competition.

Finally

The Human Rights are an equalizing power, not to change the roles. Human Rights are guaranteed to equalize the oversize of any power that always turns into suppression. The public scrutiny does not beg for returning the stolen human and civil rights. The public scrutiny, including inter alia me, enforces article 13 of the EVRM in the correct interpretation to get hold of the national authority to execute its judgments, comparable to a bailiff, without any interference of whatever authority or institution.

The MP may persist in fighting against each messenger of perjury, violations or crimes against Human Rights by firstly the courts, tribunals or judges and judiciary. But this fighting does not change the legality, existence, authority and working of the public scrutiny. I recall to frequently stay informed about the all the content of the webdossier www.de-openbare-zaak.nl with additional all the content of the site www.publicscrutiny.nl.

I ought to receive a complete and factual response from the Minister President in person, within a reasonable period of time.

Yours sincerely, <sender>

<signature sender>

